



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,365	12/22/2003	Marc Muller	104610-49823 DIV (21296)	7969
26345	7590 09/12/2005		EXAM	INER
GIBBONS, E	DEL DEO, DOLAN, (SHIAO, REI TSANG		
NEWARK, NJ 07102-5497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1626	····

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/743,365	MULLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Shiao	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on responsive	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>responses filed on 07/22/2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction access access and the correction access and the correction access access and the correction access access and the correction access ac	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/629,483. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/13/04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/743,365

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This application claims benefit of the foreign application:

EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE (EPO) 02016944.7 with a filing date 08/01/2002.

2. Claims 1-2 are pending in the application.

Responses to Election/Restriction

3. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II claims 1-2, in part, the reply filed on July 22, 2005, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Group I-II should be examined together in one application, and M.P.E.P. 809.03 is cited. This is not found persuasive, the reasons are given, *infra*.

Status of the Claims

4. Claims 1-2 are pending in the application. The scope of the invention of the elected subject matter is as follows:

Claims 1-2, in part, drawn to a process of preparing compounds of formula A, wherein the variable Y represents nitrogen thereof.

The above mentioned withdrawn compounds which are withdrawn from consideration as being for non-elected subject matter differ materially in structure and composition from the compounds of the elected invention. The withdrawn compounds/processes of making, contain various processes of making compounds of formula (A) having variable Y which differ from those of the elected invention such as thiazole (i.e., Y is CH), etc, which are chemically recognized to differ in structure and

Art Unit: 1626

function. This recognized chemical diversity of the functional groups can be seen by the various classification of these functional groups in the U.S. classification system, i.e., class 548 subclass 146(+) (thiazole), etc. Therefore, processes of Group I or II belongs to distinct processes of making distinct products. Therefore, the Examiner must perform a different search for Group (I)-(II). Again, the compounds which are withdrawn from consideration as being for non-elected subject matter differ materially in structure and composition and have been restricted properly.

The group set forth in the claims includes both independent and distinct inventions, and patentably distinct compounds (or species) within each invention. However, this application discloses and claims a plurality of patentably distinct inventions far too numerous to list individually. Moreover, each of these inventions contains a plurality of patentably distinct compounds, also far too numerous to list individually. Moreover, the examiner must perform a commercial database search on the subject matter of each group in addition to a paper search, which is quite burdensome to the examiner.

Claims 1-2, in part, embraced in above elected subject matter, are prosecuted in the case. Claims 1-2, in part, <u>not</u> embraced in above elected subject matter, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The subject matter of stating materials, i.e., compounds of formulae (1)-(7), which are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claims is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The instant claims are drawn to a process of making compound of formula (A) by reacting starting material of formula (I) with starting materials of formula (1)-(7), however, formula (1)-(7) are not included in the claims, see claim 1 or Scheme 1 on pages 8-9. Incorporation of key stating material of formulae (1)-(7) into the claim would obviate the rejection.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, page 17, lines 9-10, recite limitation "further processing said 3-amino-pyrroilide of formula I to said vinylpyrrolidine cephalosporin derivative of formula (A)" without key starting materials, is ambiguous and indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,365

Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

The range of "further processing" without key stating materials is from a bond, ester, or to any and all organic radical. Such breath in view of the limited exemplification and the lack of key starting materials resulted from a search of the prior art indicated that the "scope" of claim 1 can not be ascertained. In view of the high degree of unpredictability of the chemical art and starting material must be made available at the time the invention was made, i.e., filing of application, the broad scope can not be supplemented with future discovery of new material.

Incorporation of key stating material into the claim would obviate the rejection, i.e., formula (1)-(7) of Scheme 1 on pages 8-9.

Objection

- 7. Claims 1-2 are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter, i.e., the variable Y does not represent CH, etc. It is suggested that applicants amend the claims to the scope of the elected subject matter as defined on the page 2 *supra*.
- 8. Claim 2, line 1, recites the term "formula I", is objected. It appears there is a typographic error of the term "formula I". Replacement of the term "formula I" with the term "formula A", would obviate the objection.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Shiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0707. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TAOFIQ SOLOLA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph K. McKane Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626

Robert Shiao, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626

September 06, 2005